

## Mean Approximation by Transformed and Constrained Rational Functions

C. B. DUNHAM

*Computer Science Department, University of Western Ontario, London 72, Canada*

*Communicated by Joseph L. Walsh*

The problem of existence of best approximations by transformed and constrained rational functions with respect to a generalized integral norm is studied.

Let  $X$  be a compact topological space which is also a measure space and let  $\int$  denote the integral over  $X$ . Let  $\tau$  be a continuous mapping of the real line into the nonnegative real line. For a real (finite) measurable  $g$ , defined on  $X$ , set

$$\|g\| = \int \tau(g).$$

Let  $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}, \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m\}$  be linearly independent subsets of  $C(X)$ . Define

$$R(A, x) = P(A, x)/Q(A, x) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \phi_k(x)}{\sum_{k=1}^m a_{n+k} \psi_k(x)}.$$

Let  $\sigma$  be a continuous mapping of the real line into itself. Define

$$F(A, x) = \sigma(R(A, x)).$$

Let  $P$  be a subset of  $n + m$  space. The approximation problem is: given  $f$ , finite, measurable, to find an  $A^* \in P$  for which  $\|f - F(A, \cdot)\|$  attains its infimum

$$\rho(f) = \inf\{\|f - F(A, \cdot)\| : A \in P\}.$$

Such a parameter  $A^*$  is called best and  $F(A^*, \cdot)$  is called a best approximation of  $f$ .

The study of linear approximation by  $\tau$ -“norms” was begun by Walsh and Motzkin [5]. The case where  $X$  is an interval,  $\sigma(x) = x$ , and the only constraint on the parameters  $A$  is that  $Q(A, \cdot) \neq 0$  is considered in [2]. Cases in which a weight function is used are handled by incorporating the weight function into the measure or integral.

$Q$  WITH THE ZERO MEASURE PROPERTY

In case  $Q(A, x) \neq 0$ ,  $F(A, x)$  is well defined. We need a convention for cases in which  $Q(A, x)$  has zeros  $x$ . We use a hypothesis of Boehm [1] as adapted in [2].

DEFINITION.  $Q$  has the *zero measure property* if  $Q(A, \cdot) \neq 0$  implies that the set of zeros of  $Q(A, \cdot)$  is of zero measure.

EXAMPLE. Let  $X = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  and  $Q(A, (x, y)) = a_{n+1} + a_{n+2}x + a_{n+3}y$ , then if  $Q(A, \cdot) \neq 0$ , the zeros of  $Q(A, \cdot)$  form at most a line segment in  $X$ .

If this condition holds,  $F(A, \cdot)$  may need an extra definition on a set of measure zero, if  $Q(A, \cdot) \neq 0$ . But the values of  $F(A, \cdot)$  on a set of measure zero have no effect on the value of  $\int \tau(f - F(A, \cdot))$ , so it does not matter how we define  $F(A, x)$  for the zeros  $x$  of  $Q(A, x)$ .

Since  $R(\alpha A, x) = R(A, x)$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ , any rational which does not have its denominator vanishing identically can be normalized so that

$$\sum_{k=1}^m |a_{n+k}| = 1. \quad (1)$$

Define  $P_0$  to be the set of parameters  $A$  satisfying (1) and  $Q(A, \cdot) \geq 0$ .

LEMMA 1. *Let  $Q$  have the zero measure property and there exist  $B$  such that  $Q(B, \cdot) > 0$ . Let  $Q(A, \cdot) \geq 0$ ,  $Q(A, \cdot) \neq 0$ , then  $R(A, \cdot)$  is measurable.*

*Proof.* If  $Q(A, \cdot) > 0$ ,  $R(A, \cdot)$  is continuous and, therefore, measurable. If  $Q(A, \cdot) \geq 0$ ,  $Q(A, \cdot) \neq 0$ , define

$$R(A^k, x) = R\left(\frac{k-1}{k}A + \frac{1}{k}B, x\right)$$

then  $Q(A^k, \cdot) > 0$ , hence  $R(A^k, \cdot) \in C(X)$ ,  $R(A^k, \cdot)$  measurable, and  $R(A^k, x)$  converges to  $R(A, x)$  if  $Q(A, x) = 0$ , hence  $R(A, \cdot)$  is measurable [3, p. 43].

COROLLARY. *Under the same hypotheses,  $F(A, \cdot)$  is measurable.*

The analog of Lemma 2 of [2] follows.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $|\sigma(t)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $\{\|A^k\|\} \rightarrow \infty$  then there is a closed neighborhood  $N$  in  $X$  such that*

$$\inf\{|f(x) - \sigma(R(A^k, x))|: x \in N\} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $Q$  have the zero measure property and there exist  $B$  with  $Q(B, \cdot) > 0$ . Let  $\tau(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$  and  $|\sigma(t)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ . Let neighborhoods be of positive measure. Let  $P$  be a nonempty closed subset of  $P_0$ . There exists a best approximation to each bounded measurable function.*

*Proof.* Let  $\|f - F(A^k, \cdot)\|$  be a decreasing sequence with limit  $\rho(f)$ . By Lemma 2 it can be easily seen that  $\{\|A^k\|\}$  must be a bounded sequence. Thus,  $\{A^k\}$  has an accumulation point  $A^0$ , assume without loss of generality that  $\{A^k\} \rightarrow A^0$ . As  $P$  is closed,  $A^0 \in P$  and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |a_{n+k}^0| = 1.$$

It follows that the set of zeros of  $Q(A^0, \cdot)$  is of measure zero. If  $Q(A^0, x) \neq 0$ ,  $R(A^k, x) \rightarrow R(A^0, x)$  and  $|f(x) - F(A^k, x)| \rightarrow |f(x) - F(A^0, x)|$ . By Fatou's theorem [3, p. 59],

$$\|f - F(A^0, \cdot)\| \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f - F(A^k, \cdot)\| = \rho(f).$$

### PARAMETER SPACES

We now consider some subsets of  $P_0$  under the assumption that  $B$  exists so that  $Q(B, \cdot) > 0$ .

(1)  $P_0$  is a closed nonempty set.

(2) Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$  be a finite subset of  $X$  and  $\{y_1, \dots, y_p\}$  be real numbers. Define

$$P_1 = \{A: F(A, x_i) = y_i, i = 1, \dots, p\}.$$

When the convention of Boehm [1] is used to assign values to rational functions,  $P_1$  need not be closed and best approximations need not exist.

**EXAMPLE.** Let  $\sigma(x) = x$ ,  $R(A, x) = a_1/(a_2 + a_3x)$ . Let

$$A^k = (1/k, 1/k, (k - 1)/k)$$

then  $R(A^k, 0) = 1$ . We have  $\{A^k\} \rightarrow (0, 0, 1) = A^0$  and since  $R(A^0, x) = 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ ,  $R(A^0, 0) = 0$  by Boehm's convention. Let us approximate  $f$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= 1, & x &= 0; \\ &= 0, & x &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

on  $[0, 1]$  under the constraint  $R(A, 0) = 1$ . As  $\|f - R(A^k, \cdot)\| \rightarrow 0$ , a best  $A$  would satisfy  $\|f - R(A, \cdot)\| = 0$ . The only rational  $R(A, \cdot)$  for which this is true is the zero function, which does not satisfy the constraint.

Goldstein has used a convention [4, pp. 84-89] in which  $R(A, x)$  is assigned any desired value when  $P(A, x) = Q(A, x) = 0$ . With this convention  $P_1$  can be made closed. Let  $\{A^k\}$  satisfy the constraints

$$F(A^k, x_i) = y_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, p, \tag{2}$$

and  $\{A^k\} \rightarrow A$ . If  $Q(A, x_i) \neq 0$ ,  $F(A^k, x_i) \rightarrow F(A, x_i)$ . If  $Q(A, x_i) = 0$ ,  $P(A, x_i) \neq 0$ , then  $|F(A^k, x_i)| \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $P(A, x_i) = Q(A, x_i) = 0$  we assign to  $F(A, x_i)$  the value  $y_i$ . It follows that  $P_1$  is closed. As denominators are not a problem in linear approximation ( $m = 1$ ),  $P_1$  is closed in transformed linear approximation.

(3) Let  $u, v$  be functions mapping  $X$  into the extended real line,  $u \leq v$ , and

$$P_2 = \{A: u \leq F(A, \cdot) \leq v\}.$$

This choice of parameters is associated with the problem of constrained approximation. Special cases of interest are those of one-sided approximation in which  $u = -\infty, v = f$  or  $u = f, v = +\infty$ . In dealing with  $P_2$  we use also the convention of Boehm [1].

LEMMA 3. *Let  $Q$  have the nonzero dense property and Boehm's convention be used. Let  $u$  be lower semicontinuous into the extended real line and  $v$  be upper semicontinuous into the extended real line, then  $P_2 \cap P_0$  is closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{A^k\}$  be a sequence in  $P_2 \cap P_0$  and  $\{A^k\} \rightarrow A$ . Let  $Q(A, x) \neq 0$ , then  $\{R(A^k, x)\} \rightarrow R(A, x)$ , hence  $\{F(A^k, x)\} \rightarrow F(A, x)$ . We, therefore, have  $u(x) \leq F(A, x) \leq v(x)$  for such  $x$ . Let  $Q(A, x) = 0$ . There exists a sequence  $\{x_k\} \rightarrow x$  such that  $Q(A, x_k) \neq 0$  and

$$\lim \sup\{R(A, y): y \rightarrow x, Q(A, y) \neq 0\} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} R(A, x_k),$$

hence

$$F(A, x) = \sigma(R(A, x)) = \sigma(\lim_{x_k \rightarrow x} R(A, x_k)) = \lim_{x_k \rightarrow x} \sigma(R(A, x_k)).$$

But  $\sigma(R(A, x_k)) \geq u(x_k)$  so by lower semicontinuity of  $u$ ,  $\sigma(R(A, x)) \geq u(x)$ . Similarly  $\sigma(R(A, x)) \leq v(x)$ .

(4) Let  $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_p\}$  be a subset of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n + m\}$ , and let  $\{s_1, \dots, s_p\}$  be a set of signs ( $+1$  or  $-1$ ). Let  $P_3$  be the set of coefficient vectors  $A$  such that

$$\text{sgn}(a_k) = s_k \text{ or } 0, \quad k \in J.$$

$P_3$  is closed, hence  $P_0 \cap P_3$  is closed. A special case is where all coefficients of  $A$  are to be nonnegative [6].

(5) Let  $X$  be a compact subset of the real line and  $Y$  be a closed subset of  $X$ . Let  $P_4$  be the set of coefficient vectors  $A$  such that  $R(A, \cdot)$  is monotonic increasing on  $Y$ . If Boehm's convention [1] can be used on  $Y$  (which implies that  $Y$  has no isolated points) then  $P_4 \cap P_0$  is closed.

Suppose not then there exists a sequence  $\{A^k\} \subset P_4 \cap P_0$  and  $A \notin P_4$  such that  $\{A^k\} \rightarrow A$ . Hence there are points  $x, y \in Y, x < y$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $R(A, x) - R(A, y) > \epsilon$ . By Boehm's convention there are  $x', y' \in Y, x' < y'$  such that  $Q(A, x') > 0, Q(A, y') > 0$ , and  $R(A, x') - R(A, y') > \epsilon/2$ . For all  $k$  sufficiently large we have  $R(A^k, x') - R(A^k, y') > \epsilon/4$ , contradicting monotonicity of  $R(A^k, \cdot)$  on  $Y$ .

We may want  $F(A, \cdot)$  to be monotonic. If  $\sigma$  is monotonic we need merely make  $R(A, \cdot)$  monotonic.

ADMISSIBLE APPROXIMATION

A transformed rational function is called *admissible* if it can be expressed as  $\sigma(R(A, \cdot)), Q(A, \cdot) > 0$ . In some cases we can show that a best approximation exists which is admissible, and hence the problem of approximation by admissible transformed rational functions has a solution.

DEFINITION.  $(R, P)$  has the *admissible property* if for given  $A \in P, \int \tau(f - F(A, \cdot)) < \infty$  implies that there is  $B \in P, Q(B, \cdot) > 0$  with  $R(A, \cdot) - R(B, \cdot) = 0$  almost everywhere.

COROLLARY. *Let the hypotheses of the theorem hold and  $(R, P)$  have the admissible property. There exists a best admissible approximation to all measurable bounded functions.*

Proof. By the theorem there exists a best approximation  $F(A, \cdot), A \in P$ . If  $\int \tau(f - F(A, \cdot)) < \infty$  there is  $B \in P, Q(B, \cdot) > 0$  such that  $F(B, \cdot) - F(A, \cdot) = 0$  almost everywhere, and hence  $\int \tau(f - F(A, \cdot)) = \int \tau(f - F(B, \cdot))$ . We apply the corollary to the most common case of interest, which covers all  $L_p$  norms,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , on an interval  $X = [a, b]$ .

THEOREM. *Let there exist  $\alpha, K$  such that  $\tau(t) \geq \alpha |t|$  for all  $|t| \geq K$ . Let there exist  $\beta, M$  such that  $|\sigma(t)| \geq \beta |t|$  for  $|t| \geq M$ . Let  $f$  be a bounded measurable function on  $[a, b]$ . Let*

$$R(A, x) = P(A, x)/Q(A, x) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x^{k-1} / \sum_{k=1}^m a_{n+k} x^{k-1}.$$

Let  $P$  be a closed subset of  $P_0$  and be such that if  $A \in P$ ,  $R(A, \cdot)$  is pole free, then there is  $B \in P$  with  $Q(B, \cdot) > 0$ ,  $R(A, \cdot) = R(B, \cdot)$ . Let there exist  $A \in P$  with  $\|f - F(A, \cdot)\| < \infty$ . There exists an admissible best approximation with parameter in  $P$  to  $f$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r \in R_{m-1}^{n-1}[a, b]$  have a pole. Let

$$L = \{x: |f(x) - \sigma(r(x))| \geq K\},$$

then

$$\|f - \sigma(r)\| \geq \int_{\sim L} \tau(f - \sigma(r)) + \int_L \alpha |f - \sigma(r)| \geq \alpha \left[ \int_L |\sigma(r)| - \int_L |f| \right].$$

Let  $N = \{x: |r(x)| \geq M\}$  then

$$\int_L |\sigma(r)| \geq \int_{L \cap (\sim M)} |\sigma(r)| + \beta \int_{L \cap M} |r|.$$

As the integral of  $|r|$  over any neighbourhood of the pole is infinite,  $\int_L |\sigma(r)| = \infty$  and  $\|f - \sigma(r)\| = \infty$ . It follows that if  $\|f - F(A, \cdot)\| < \infty$ ,  $R(A, \cdot)$  is pole-free, and there is admissible  $R(B, \cdot)$  with  $R(A, x) = R(B, x)$  for  $x$  not a zero of  $Q(A, \cdot)$ . Under Boehm's convention  $R(A, \cdot) = R(B, \cdot)$ .

The hypothesis on  $P$  on the theorem is satisfied by  $P_0$  and  $P_0 \cap P_2$ . The example given previously for  $P_1$  shows that the theorem does not hold for  $P = P_1 \cap P_0$ . The argument of the theorem cannot be extended to cover all transformers  $\sigma$ , for in the case  $\sigma(x) = \log(x)$

$$\int_0^1 \log(1/x) dx = \int_{\infty}^1 \log(t) d(1/t) = \int_1^{\infty} (\log(t)/t^2) dt = [(1/t)(\log(t) - 1)]_1^{\infty} = 1$$

and approximations with a pole do not have infinite error.

### APPROXIMATION ON FINITE POINT SETS

The zero measure property does not hold if  $X$  has isolated points of positive measure and our previous theory does not apply. In the case  $X$  is a finite point set we can use an alternative convention to obtain existence. Let  $X$  be a  $p$  point set, say  $1, 2, \dots, p$  then the norm is of the form

$$\|g\| = \sum_{k=1}^p w_k \tau(g(i)), \quad w_i > 0.$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} F(A, i) &= \sigma(\infty), & P(A, i) &\neq 0, & Q(A, i) &= 0, \\ &= f(i), & P(A, i) &= Q(A, i) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

using a convention similar to that of Goldstein [4, pp. 84ff.]. The analog of Lemma 2 follows.

**LEMMA 4.** *If  $\|A^k\| \rightarrow \infty$  and  $|\sigma(t)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$  then there exists an integer  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p$  such that*

$$|f(i) - F(A^k, i)| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let  $\hat{P}$  be the set of parameters  $A$  satisfying the normalization (1).

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\tau(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$  and  $0$  be a minimum for  $\tau$ . Let  $|\sigma(t)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $P$  be a nonempty closed subset of  $P_0$  or  $\hat{P}$ . There exists a best approximation to each bounded function on finite  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{A^k\} \subset P$  and  $\{\|f - F(A^k, \cdot)\|\}$  be a decreasing sequence with  $\lim \rho(f) = \inf\{\|f - F(A, \cdot)\| : A \in P\}$ . From Lemma 4 it is seen that  $\{\|A^k\|\}$  is a bounded sequence with accumulation point  $A \in P$ . By taking a subsequence if necessary we can assume that  $\{A^k\} \rightarrow A$ . If  $Q(A, \cdot)$  vanishes on an integer  $i$  where  $P(A, \cdot)$  does not,  $\{P(A^k, i)/Q(A^k, i)\} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , hence  $\{w_i \tau(f(i) - F(A^k, i))\} \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\{\|f - F(A^k, \cdot)\|\} \rightarrow \infty$ , contrary to hypothesis. Hence if  $Q(A, i) = 0$ ,  $P(A, i) = 0$  also and  $F(A, i) = f(i)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} w_i \tau(f(i) - F(A, i)) &= w_i \min \tau \leq w_i \tau(f_i - F(A^k, i)), & Q(A, i) &= 0 \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} w_i \tau(f(i) - F(A^k, i)), & Q(A, i) &\neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Combining these we get

$$\|f - F(A, \cdot)\| \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f - F(A^k, \cdot)\| = \rho(f).$$

### OTHER TRANSFORMERS

There are transformers  $\sigma$  of interest which do not satisfy the condition  $|\sigma(t)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ . One such transformer is  $\sigma(t) = \exp(t)$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $P, Q$  have the zero measure property and there exist  $B$  with  $Q(B, \cdot) > 0$ . Let  $\tau(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\sigma(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\sigma(t)$  tend to a finite limit  $\Omega$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ . Let  $P$  be a nonempty closed subset of  $P_0$ . If we add  $\Omega$  to the family of approximations, a best approximation exists to each bounded measurable function.*

*Proof.* Let  $\|f - F(A^k, \cdot)\|$  be a decreasing sequence with limit  $\rho(f)$ . We have two possibilities. First,  $\{\|A^k\|\}$  can be an unbounded sequence, then by

taking a subsequence if necessary we can assume that  $\|A^k\| \rightarrow \infty$ . Define  $B^k = A^k/\|A^k\|$  then  $\|B^k\| = 1$  and  $\{B^k\}$  has an accumulation point  $B$ ,  $\|B\| = 1$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $\{B^k\} \rightarrow B$ . The sequence  $\{(a_{n+1}^k, \dots, a_{n+m}^k)\}$  is bounded and has an accumulation point  $C$ , assume that the sequence converges to  $C$ . By the normalization (1),  $Q(C, \cdot) \neq 0$ . We claim that for  $x$  not a zero of  $Q(C, \cdot)$ ,  $P(B, x)/Q(C, x) \leq 0$ . Suppose not, let  $P(B, x)/Q(C, x) > 0$  then there is  $\epsilon > 0$  and a neighborhood  $N$  of  $x$  such that  $P(B, y)/Q(C, y) > \epsilon$  for  $y \in N$ , hence for all  $k$  sufficiently large  $R(A^k, y) > \|A^k\| \epsilon/2$  for  $y \in N$ . It follows that

$$\inf\{|f(y) - \sigma(R(A^k, y))|: y \in N\} \rightarrow \infty,$$

hence  $\|f - R(A^k, \cdot)\| \rightarrow \infty$ , giving a contradiction. Hence  $P(B, \cdot)/Q(C, \cdot)$  is negative almost everywhere and  $\sigma(R(A^k, \cdot)) \rightarrow \Omega$  almost everywhere. By Fatou's theorem [3, p. 59],  $\|f - \Omega\| = \rho(f)$ . The second possibility is that  $\{\|A^k\|\}$  is bounded and that is handled by an earlier theorem.

In cases of practical interest  $\Omega$  may never be best. Let us suppose that the range of  $\sigma$  is  $(\Omega, \infty)$  and the family of rationals includes all constant functions. Then we would expect the range of  $f$  to be in  $(\Omega, \infty)$  and then there exists a constant  $\mu$  between  $\Omega$  and  $f$ . If  $\tau$  is strictly monotonic on  $(-\infty, 0)$  and  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $\mu$  is a better approximation.

It appears that we may be able to guarantee the existence of a best admissible approximation only in the case of transformed linear approximation ( $m = 1$ ). Consider for example the case where  $X = [0, 1]$ ,  $\sigma(t) = \exp(t)$ , and  $R$  is a polynomial rational approximating function. The approximation  $F(A, x) = \exp(-1/x)$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$ , is the uniform limit of a sequence of admissible approximations, and corresponds to no admissible approximation.

#### REFERENCES

1. B. BOEHM, Existence of best rational Tschebycheff approximations, *Pacific J. Math.* **15** (1965), 19-28.
2. C. B. DUNHAM, Existence of best mean rational approximations, *J. Approximation Theory* **4** (1971), 269-273.
3. A. N. KOLMOGOROV AND S. V. FOMIN, "Functional Analysis," Vol. 2, Graylock, Albany, NY, 1961.
4. J. R. RICE, "The Approximation of Functions," Vol. 2, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1969.
5. J. L. WALSH AND T. S. MOTZKIN, Best approximations within a linear family on an interval, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **46** (1960), 1225-1233.
6. W. B. JURKAT AND G. G. LORENTZ, Uniform approximation by polynomials with positive coefficients, *Duke Math. J.* **28** (1960), 463-474.